National Prevalence and Effects of Multiple Chemical Sensitivities

Steinemann, Anne, PhD

Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine: March 2018 - Volume 60 -

Issue 3 - p e152-e156

doi: 10.1097/JOM.0000000000001272

Original Articles

Abstract Author Information Article Outline

Objective: The aim of this study was to assess the prevalence of multiple chemical sensitivities (MCS), its co-occurrence with asthma and fragrance sensitivity, and effects from exposure to fragranced consumer products.

Methods: A nationally representative cross-sectional population-based sample of adult Americans (n = 1137) was surveyed in June 2016.

Results: Among the population, 12.8% report medically diagnosed MCS and 25.9% report chemical sensitivity. Of those with MCS, 86.2% experience health problems, such as migraine headaches, when exposed to fragranced consumer products; 71.0% are asthmatic; 70.3% cannot access places that use fragranced products such as air fresheners; and 60.7% lost workdays or a job in the past year due to fragranced products in the workplace.

Conclusion: Prevalence of diagnosed MCS has increased over 300%, and self-reported chemical sensitivity over 200%, in the past decade. Reducing exposure to fragranced products could help reduce adverse health and societal effects.

Department of Infrastructure Engineering, Melbourne School of Engineering, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia; College of Science and Engineering, James Cook University, Townsville, Queensland, Australia; and Climate, Atmospheric Sciences, and Physical Oceanography, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California.

Address correspondence to: Anne Steinemann, PhD, Professor of Civil Engineering, Chair of Sustainable Cities, Department of Infrastructure Engineering, Melbourne School of Engineering, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC 3010, Australia (anne.steinemann@unimelb.edu.au).

No external sources of funding were received for this work.

The authors have no conflicts of interest.

Supplemental digital content is available for this article. Direct URL citations appear in the printed text and are provided in the HTML and PDF versions of this article on the journal's Website (www.joem.org).

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0

- Abstract
- BACKGROUND
- METHODS
- RESULTS
- DISCUSSION
- CONCLUSION
- Acknowledgments
- REFERENCES
- Supplemental Digital Content

BACKGROUND

Multiple chemical sensitivities (MCS) is a medical condition characterized by adverse health effects from exposure to common chemicals and pollutants, from products such as pesticides, new carpet and paint, renovation materials, diesel exhaust, cleaning supplies, perfume, scented laundry products, and air fresheners.^{1,2} MCS can cause a range of acute, chronic, multiorgan, and disabling health effects, such as headaches, dizziness, cognitive impairment, breathing difficulties, heart palpitations, nausea, mucous membrane irritation, and asthma attacks.³ Individuals with MCS may not receive a diagnosis but nonetheless exhibit the condition of chemical sensitivity. Previous studies have found that MCS often co-occurs with asthma,⁴ as well as fragrance sensitivity,⁵ characterized by adverse health effects from exposure to fragranced consumer products.⁶

While MCS is perhaps the most common term, the condition is also known by other terms, such as chemical intolerance or environmental illness (specific to chemical exposures).³ MCS follows a two-step process of (i) initiation of the disease, often from exposure to petrochemical products, and then (ii) triggering of symptoms when exposed to problematic chemicals, often at low levels.^{3,7} While significant efforts have been devoted to developing case definitions and diagnostic criteria,^{3,8,9} a single internationally agreed-upon standard for prevalence studies is not yet established. Nonetheless, prior population-based studies of MCS, using specific and often different definitions and criteria, offer useful data on the extent and severity of the condition.

In the USA, two previous nationally representative studies, conducted in 2002 to 2003⁴ and 2005 to 2006,⁵ investigated the prevalence of MCS by using the key question developed by the California Department of Health Services (CDHS)¹⁰: "Compared to other people, do you consider yourself allergic or unusually sensitive to everyday chemicals like those in household cleaning products, paints, perfumes, detergents, insect spray and things like that?" This criterion reflects self-reported chemical sensitivity. To ascertain a medical diagnosis of MCS, the survey asked, "Has a doctor or health care professional ever told you that you have multiple chemical sensitivities?" This criterion reflects medically diagnosed MCS. These two USA studies found (respectively) a prevalence of 11.1% and 11.6% self-reported chemical sensitivity and 2.5% and 3.9% medically diagnosed MCS.

At the state and regional level in the USA, using the CDHS criteria, a survey of 4046 Californians in 1995¹⁰ found a prevalence of 15.9% self-reported chemical sensitivity and 6.3% medically diagnosed MCS. A survey of 1583 metropolitan Atlantans in 1999 to 2000, also using the CDHS criteria, found a prevalence of 12.6% self-reported chemical sensitivity and 3.1% diagnosed MCS. A survey of 1027 individuals in North Carolina in 1993, using a question similar to CDHS, found a prevalence of 33% chemical sensitivity.

In Sweden, using the chemical sensitivity scale for sensory hyperreactivity (CSS-SHR),¹¹ an investigation of 1387 adults in Skövde found a prevalence of 33% of self-reported general odor intolerance, or being bothered by strong or pungent odors, such as perfume, cleaning agents, or flower scents.¹² Also in Sweden, a survey of 3406 adult respondents from Västerbotten found 12.2% reported chemical intolerance to odorous pungent chemicals, such as perfumes and cleaning agents, and 3.3% were physician-diagnosed with chemical intolerance.

In Australia, a population-based survey of 4009 adults in South Australia in 2001 to 2002,¹³ using a variation of the CDHS question, found a prevalence of 15.9% of self-reported chemical sensitivity and 1% medically diagnosed MCS. In Japan, a national survey of 7245 adults,¹⁴ using the Quick Environmental Exposure and Sensitivity Inventory (QEESI) questionnaire,⁹ found a prevalence of 7.5% for chemical intolerance. In Korea, a survey of 379 adults, also using the QEESI, found a prevalence of 16.4% for chemical intolerance.¹⁵

While these studies provide useful context, we lack recent nationally representative data in the USA. A primary objective of this study is to provide a current estimate of the prevalence of MCS in the American population. Also, given previous studies indicating connections, a second objective is to investigate the co-occurrence of MCS with asthma and with fragrance sensitivity. Finally, because fragranced products are a common trigger, a third objective is to investigate the effects of exposure to fragranced products for individuals with MCS, which points to ways to reduce potential adverse effects.

METHODS

To assess the prevalence and effects of MCS, an online survey was conducted with a random national cross-sectional sample of the adult US population, representative of age, gender, and region (n = 1137, 95% confidence level, 3% margin of error), drawn from a large national panel (over 5,000,000 people) held by Survey Sampling International. The survey instrument was developed and tested over a 2-year period before full implementation in June 2016. Response rate was 95%, and all responses were anonymous. (Details on survey methodology, questions, and data are provided in the files "Survey Methodology" and "Survey Data" as Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/JOM/A412 and http://links.lww.com/JOM/A413.)

To promote comparability, the survey replicated questions from previous large US national, state, and regional MCS prevalence studies. 1,4,5,7,10 In accordance, to ascertain medically diagnosed MCS, the survey asked, "Has a doctor or health care professional ever told you that you have multiple chemical sensitivities?" To ascertain self-reported chemical sensitivity, the survey asked, "Compared to other people, do you consider yourself allergic or unusually sensitive to everyday chemicals like those in household cleaning products, paints, perfumes, detergents, insect spray and things like that?"

To ascertain asthma, the survey asked "Has a doctor or health care professional ever told you that you have asthma or an asthma-like condition?" and then further asked to specify whether asthma or an asthma-like condition. The term "asthmatic" will be used herein to encompass individuals with either asthma or an asthma-like condition or both.

To ascertain fragrance sensitivity, the survey investigated health effects associated with exposures to fragranced consumer products. A "fragranced consumer product," or "fragranced product" for brevity, is a chemically formulated product with the addition of a fragrance or scent.⁶ An individual was considered to characterize fragrance sensitivity if they experienced one or more types of health problems from one or more types of fragranced products and exposure contexts.

Fragranced product types were categorized as follows: air fresheners and deodorizers, personal care products, cleaning supplies, laundry products, household products, fragrance, and other. Health effects were categorized as follows: migraine headaches; asthma attacks; neurological problems; respiratory problems; skin problems; cognitive problems; mucosal symptoms; immune system problems; gastrointestinal problems; cardiovascular problems; musculoskeletal problems; and other. (Additional details on specific product types and health effects within each category, along with response data, are provided in the file "Survey Data" as Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/JOM/A413.)

Specific exposure contexts were air fresheners or deodorizers used in public restrooms and other environments, scented laundry products coming from a dryer vent, being in a room after it was cleaned with scented cleaning products, being near someone wearing a fragranced product, entering a business with the scent of fragranced products, fragranced soap used in public restrooms, and ability to access environments that used fragranced products. The survey also investigated effects of fragrance exposure in the workplace, access to public places that used

fragranced products, and preferences for fragrance-free environments and policies. Data on fragranced product exposures and effects were derived from a survey of the general population, ⁶ while the present study focuses specifically on effects on the subpopulations of individuals with MCS or chemical sensitivity.

RESULTS

A national prevalence of 12.8% medically diagnosed MCS, 25.9% self-reported chemical sensitivity, and 27.5% either or both, was assessed by the survey (See Table 1). Compared with previous studies, 4,5 the prevalence of diagnosed MCS has increased over three times (2.5%, 3.9% to 12.8%) and self-reported chemical sensitivity has increased over two times (11.1%, 11.6% to 25.9%) in a little over 10 years.

	See No.	1011704	17batha	THE RESERVE
Tract (II) A reference property constants	100	25	,75	27
	1.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	to photosoc bear	National Section	10000000
NCS Represed	- 14	140	.72	
Territory services	76	100	300	.70
Will digenol or feedballs positive for NAS	-	-	-	200
folios dispersal	175	75	300	100
toku iki cestini tiganel	-	-		-
below who i alter to retire a feet	300		39.	.72
Fegure antico	25	75	75	25

TABLE 1

In addition, 71.0% of those with MCS are asthmatic: diagnosed with asthma (40.0%), an asthmalike condition (34.5%), or both. Also, 59.2% with chemical sensitivity are asthmatic: diagnosed with asthma (35.0%), an asthma-like condition (26.2%), or both (See Table 1). Compared with previous studies, 4,5 the co-occurrence of asthma with diagnosed MCS (42.3%, 39.0% vs 40.0%) and with chemical sensitivity (34.2%, 34.9% vs 35.0%) is relatively similar.

Fragranced consumer products were found to trigger a range of adverse health and societal effects. When exposed to fragranced consumer products, 86.2% of those with MCS experience one or more types of health problems, including respiratory difficulties (50.3%), migraine headaches (46.9%), mucosal symptoms (46.9%), skin problems (37.9%), and asthma attacks (31.7%). Similarly, 81.2% of those with chemical sensitivity report one or more types of health problems when exposed to fragranced products (see Tables 1 and 2).

	too Ne	90.004	1 boots on	THE RESIDENCE
Tourism in others in present associations	1,100	-20	-75	200
	3 (13 (44) 36)	A printed fool	1 of these bed	Turbasis had
has improve product to country to a	No.	100	100	347
the bell political O state a foliapations typ of bell politica	14.74	8.74	40.00	16.40
Tape of Sealth profession				
Plante Saleria	100		500	100
	101.76	9.49	40.04	40.00
* Acolless solar-liv				
	4.00	0.75	85.7%	100.00
Appropriation of Region Street,				
The last section in the la	100	7.7	7.7	200
Analysis, feeling, too of conduction Associates position by different feesing coupling, disclose of heaping	-	-		
"Mill and below the state," Mills of the	-		-	
region and designation	-	0.00	See	20.00
A species proteined by differential featings.	-		-	
Married and American Street, S	1.85	56-75	85.00	46.70
"Month colored in their a street."	-		100	100
	100.75	00.000	90.00	Brost
Sensor come policies (sp. molini (septi	-		- 1	
glassic from Valgori	4.05	11.476	0.76	101,764
Section of Author to America				
THE PERSON NAMED IN	4.00	0.75	200	-
Company of the Company				-7-
Sealing Sealing, the Sealing S			-	-
the same relations of the same relation	- 6	200		
The state of the s				-
	(2)	100	100	199

TABLE 2

Specific exposures triggering health problems include air fresheners and deodorizers (67.6%), scented laundry products coming from a dryer vent (57.9%), being in a room recently cleaned with scented products (67.6%), being near someone wearing a fragranced product (65.5%), and in general fragranced consumer products (73.1%) (see Table 3, and the file "Survey Data" as Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/JOM/A413).

	to the	To all these liver	Traction.	To all Colonia State
Tol	100	.50	.50.	
Aspen online		- 25	75	75
No. of Contract Conference or An Alexander or Advanced	- 25	- 2	25	.75
formational protein feet when the		-	200	- 10
Note that of consultation	300	- 2	.75	.75
Steene testing-risposed point.	300		75	-
the talk of fragment consists probe-	70	770	.75	.75

TABLE 3

For 76.0% of people with MCS, the severity of these health problems was potentially disabling according to the criterion of the Americans with Disabilities Act Amendments Act of 2008 (ADAAA), asked by the question: "Do any of these health problems substantially limit one or more major life activities, such as seeing, hearing, eating, sleeping, walking, standing, lifting, bending, speaking, breathing, learning, reading, concentrating, thinking, communicating, or working, for you personally?" (See Table 4).

	Total Sec	141000-00	Total Ser	Total Service
tor	100	.75	.75.	.21
Depart sortio	100	45	- 12	140
Stating test above the September according to the	77	-	- 25	35
Traffic is an electric traffic place became of all females, all most of contral particles. Traffic is not bead became of imparticles.	-	÷	-	-
Marie I form a Montern partie Monter of Proposition Annual State, play antigher Name of Proposition	25	ž	=	-
ted mining of play per can be a fragment probe fragment to mining. Supports of Suproce to party to the contents	23	2	- 55	-
But improving testings below at polyment	35	-	35	32

TABLE 4

Fragranced products also restrict access in society: 58.6% of individuals with MCS are unable to use public restrooms that have an air freshener, deodorizer, or scented product; 55.2% are unable to wash their hands in a public place if the soap is fragranced; 63.4% enter a business but then want to leave as quickly as possible due to a fragranced product; and 70.3% have been prevented from going someplace because of the presence of a fragranced product that would make them sick (See Table 4).

Significantly, 60.7% of those with MCS lost workdays or a job in the past year due to illness from fragranced product exposure in the workplace. Further, 71% of those with MCS would support a fragrance-free policy in the workplace, and 82.1% would prefer that health care facilities and professionals were fragrance-free (See Table 4).

Demographic proportions of diagnosed MCS are 57.9% male and 42.1% female, compared with the general population of 46.2% male and 53.8% female. Thus, diagnosed MCS has a male bias (+11.7%). Previous national prevalence studies in the US found instead a slight female bias. Relative to gender and age, the highest bias (percentage MCS greater than general population) is male 25 to 34 (+12.7%) (See Table 5).

	to disease hear	A street less	It of Calena Steel	Charton NO.
lear .	107	100	-22	100
Market Co.	-	-		
M beater	30	-	200	22
No. of Lot	-			
No. 25-26	12	100	- 2	127
No. III or	38		-	70
Many of the	100	-	-	
20.00		100		
Francis (III (III		1	-	
Name In its	75		100	32
Avea Street	75		- 2	- 3
Assess of the	74	-	7	76
Prompts (IV) etc.	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	-	Selected and the select	- 6

TABLE 5

DISCUSSION

Results of this study provide evidence that MCS is widespread and increasing in the US population: an estimated 25.6 million adults are diagnosed with MCS, and an estimated 51.8 million adults report chemical sensitivity. Using the same criteria to assess MCS and chemical sensitivity as prior US national prevalence studies, this represents an increase of 300% in diagnosed MCS and 200% in self-reported chemical sensitivity in a little more than 10 years.

Among individuals diagnosed with MCS, 71.0% report being diagnosed also with asthma or an asthma-like condition. Thus, individuals with MCS are proportionally more likely to be asthmatic than individuals without MCS (prevalence odds ratio 9.6; 95% confidence interval 6.5 to 14.2).

In addition, among individuals with MCS, 86.2% report adverse health effects from exposure to fragranced consumer products. Thus, individuals with MCS are proportionally more likely to be fragrance sensitive than individuals without MCS (prevalence odds ratio 16.8; 95% confidence interval 10.3 to 27.5).

As a consequence, individuals with MCS are prevented from accessing restrooms, businesses, workplaces, and public places due to risk of adverse health effects—some potentially disabling—from fragranced consumer products. Notably, exposure to fragranced consumer products is associated with lost workdays or a job, in the past year, for 11.0% of the adult population with MCS or chemical sensitivity, representing an estimated 22 million Americans. While researchers continue to investigate which chemicals or mixtures of chemicals in fragranced consumer products could be associated with adverse effects, ¹⁸ a practical step in the meantime would be to reduce exposure to the products. For instance, 71.0% of those with MCS would support fragrance-free policies in the workplace, and 82.1% would prefer fragrance-free health care facilities and professionals, as would a majority of the US general population.⁶

Limitations of the study include the following: (a) data were based on self-reports, although a standard and accepted method for epidemiological research, and consistent with prior prevalence studies of MCS; (b) only adults (ages 18 to 65) were surveyed; (c) all possible fragranced products and health effects were not included, although the low percentages for responses in the "other"

category indicates the survey captured the primary products and effects; and (d) MCS and chemical sensitivity lack standard diagnostic criteria, although the survey replicated questions from prior large-scale USA prevalence studies to promote comparability.

CONCLUSION

The prevalence of MCS has increased across the American population, and it frequently co-occurs with asthma and fragrance sensitivity. Moreover, fragranced consumer products, such as air fresheners and scented cleaning products, trigger significant adverse health and societal effects among individuals with MCS. Reducing exposure to fragranced products, such as through fragrance-free policies, would be an important practical step to reduce the adverse effects.

Acknowledgments

I thank Amy Davis, John Barrie, Robert Damiano, and Survey Sampling International for their valuable contributions. This article is written as a tribute to my departed colleague, Dr. Stanley Caress.

REFERENCES

- 1. Caress S, Steinemann A. Prevalence of multiple chemical sensitivities: a population-based study in the southeastern United States. *Am J Public Health* 2004; 94:746–747.
- 2. Kipen HM, Hallman W, Kelly-McNeil K, Fiedler N. Measuring chemical sensitivity prevalence: a questionnaire for population studies. *Am J Public Health* 1995; 85:575–577.
- 3. Ashford NA, Miller CS. Chemical Exposures: Low Levels and High Stakes. 2nd ed.New York, N.Y: John Wiley and Sons, Inc; 1998.
- 4. Caress S, Steinemann A. National prevalence of asthma and chemical hypersensitivity: an examination of potential overlap. *J Occup Environ Med* 2005; 47:518–522.
- 5. Caress S, Steinemann A. Prevalence of fragrance sensitivity in the American population. J *Environ Health* 2009; 71:46–50.
- 6. Steinemann A. Fragranced consumer products: exposures and effects from emissions. *Air Qual Atmos Health* 2016; 9:861–866.
- 7. Meggs WJ, Dunn KA, Bloch RM, Goodman PE, Davidoff AL. Prevalence and nature of allergy and chemical sensitivity in a general population. *Arch Environ Health* 1996; 51:275–282.
- 8. Multiple chemical sensitivity: a 1999 consensus. Arch Environ Health 1999; 54:147–149.
- 9. Miller CS, Prihoda TJ. The Environmental Exposure and Sensitivity Inventory (EESI): a standardized approach for measuring chemical intolerances for research and clinical applications. *Toxicol Ind Health* 1999; 15:370–385.
- 10. Kreutzer R, Neutra RR, Lashuay N. Prevalence of people reporting sensitivities to chemicals in a population-based survey. *Am J Epidemiol* 1999; 150:1–12.

- 11. Nordin S, Millqvist E, Löwhagen O, Bende B. A short chemical sensitivity scale for assessment of airway sensory hyperreactivity. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 2004; 77:249–254.
- 12. Johansson Å, Brämerson A, Millqvist E, Nordin S, Bende M. Prevalence and risk factors for self-reported odour intolerance: the Skövde population-based study. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 2005; 78:559–564.
- 13. Fitzgerald J. Studies on self-reported multiple chemical sensitivity in South Australia. *Env Health* 2008; 8:33–39.
- 14. Azuma K, Uchiyama I, Katoh T, Ogata H, Arashidani K, Kunugita N. Prevalence and characteristics of chemical intolerance: a Japanese population-based study. *Arch Environ Occup Health* 2015; 70:341–353.
- 15. Jeong I, Kim I, Park HJ, Roh J, Park J-W, Lee J-H. Allergic diseases and multiple chemical sensitivity in Korean adults. *Allergy Asthma Immunol Res* 2014; 6:409–414.
- 16. Americans with Disabilities Act Amendments Act of 2008 (ADAAA). 42 U.S. Code § 12102 Definition of disability (Pub. L. 101–336, § 3, July 26, 1990, 104 Stat. 329; Pub. L. 110–325, § 4(a), Sept. 25, 2008, 122 Stat. 3555.) Available at: https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/42/12102. Accessed December 5, 2017.
- 17. US Census Bureau. Quick Facts. Population; Age and Sex. Available at: https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/US/AGE275210#viewtop. Accessed December 5, 2017.
- 18. Steinemann A. Volatile emissions from common consumer products. *Air Qual Atmos Health* 2015; 8:273–281.

Keywords:

asthma; chemical sensitivity; fragrance; MCS; multiple chemical sensitivities

Supplemental Digital Content

- JOM_00_00_2018_01_01_STEINEMANN_JOEM-17-6661_SDC1.pdf; [PDF] (57 KB)
- JOM_00_00_2018_01_01_STEINEMANN_JOEM-17-6661_SDC2.pdf; [PDF] (111 KB)

Copyright © 2018 by the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine This website uses cookies. By continuing to use this website you are giving consent to cookies being used. For information on cookies and how you can disable them visit our Privacy and Cookie Policy.

Got it, thanks!